**Preaching in Christian Communities**

**Sermon Feedback Form**

Date: \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ Preacher:\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ Text:\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ Title: \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

1. **Theology**: The sermon challenged or confirmed my journey of faith by providing me with a moment of reflecting on my relationships with God, others, and/or nature with a fresh theological perspective.

Not at All Very Much

 1 2 3 4 5

1. **Text**(s): The preacher interpreted the text in a fresh new way, based on his or her enough knowledge about the text.

Not at All Very Much

 1 2 3 4 5

1. **The Relation to Contemporary Life:**  The preacher knows the situation of the listeners in a certain sense, and I could relate myself to the content of the sermon.

Not at All Very Much

 1 2 3 4 5

1. **Focus and Function**: The focus and function of the sermon was clearly delivered.

Not at All Very Much

 1 2 3 4 5

1. **Form**: The sermon moved dynamically, following my flow of consciousness. In some part of the sermon, I could have a “heart-warming” experience.

Not at All Very Much

 1 2 3 4 5

1. **Language (I)**: The language was conversational and invitational, evoking my imagination, so the sermon was not heard as an academic paper.

Not at All Very Much

 1 2 3 4 5

1. **Language (II)**: The preacher intentionally tried to use inclusive language in preaching.

Not at All Very Much

 1 2 3 4 5

1. **Delivery/authenticity**: The preacher delivered the sermon with genuine passion about the subject with appropriate body language and voice tone.

Not at All Very Much

 1 2 3 4 5

8. **The Use of Technology**: Technology was effectively used as a tool of delivering the substance of the sermon.

Not at All Very Much

 1 2 3 4 5

Comments:

Respondent:\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_